

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

21

---

College Name \*

M.h Home science

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor



Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

\*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work. \*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \*  
while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

.

---



# Google Forms

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

20

---

College Name \*

Govt.M.H.Home Science College Jabalpur

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication



The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

Excellent

Very good

Good

Fair

Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

\*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

\*

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \*  
while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%



The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

Government M. H. Home Science College Jabalpur

---

# Google Forms

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

19

---

College Name \*

Mh college jabalpur

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%

How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all



How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

Excellent

Very good

Good

Fair

Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

Every time

Usually

Occasionally/Sometimes

Rarely

Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

\*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never



Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

- To a great extent
- Moderate
- Some what
- Very Little
- Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All



What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \*  
while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

Good

---

# Google Forms

# Student Satisfaction Survey 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Please confirm this is the first and only time you answer this survey. \*

Yes

No

Age \*

19

---

College Name \*

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous

---

What degree program are you pursuing now? \*

- Bachelor's
- Master's
- PG Diploma
- Certificate Course
- Research Work

What subject area are you currently pursuing? \*

- Science
- Home Science
- Others

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding teaching learning process.

Instructions to fill the questionnaire

- All questions should be compulsorily attempted.
- Each question has five responses, choose the most appropriate one.
- The response to the qualitative question no. 21 is student's opportunity to give suggestions or improvements; she/he can also mention weaknesses of the institute here. (Kindly restrict your response to teaching learning process only)

How much of the syllabus is covered in the class? \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 84%
- 55 to 69%
- 30 to 54%
- Below 30%



How well did the teachers prepare for the classes? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Indifferently
- Won't teach at all

How well were the teachers able to communicate? \*

- Always effective
- Sometimes effective
- Just satisfactorily
- Generally ineffective
- Very poor communication

The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as \*

Excellent

Very good

Good

Fair

Poor

Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers. \*

- Always fair
- Usually fair
- Sometimes unfair
- Usually unfair
- Unfair

Was your performance in assignments discussed with you? \*

Every time

Usually

Occasionally/Sometimes

Rarely

Never

The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students. \*

- Regularly
- Often
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.

\*

- Significantly
- Very Well
- Moderately
- Marginally
- Not At All

The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree



Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.

\*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges. \*

- Fully
- Reasonably
- Partially
- Slightly
- Unable to

Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them. \*

- Every time
- Usually
- Occasionally/Sometimes
- Rarely
- Never

The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.

\*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not at all

Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree



Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.

\*

To a great extent

Moderate

Some what

Very Little

Not At All

What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. \*  
while teaching.

Above 90%

70 – 89%

50 – 69%

30 – 49%

Below 29%

The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good. \*

Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree

Give three observation / suggestions to improve the overall teaching – learning experience in your institution. \*

No suggestions

---

# Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Manju Gupta

---

Designation \*

Professor



Department \*

Chemistry

Mobile Number \*

+918989754568

E-mail Address \*

manjugupta\_68@yahoo.in

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

None

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Dr shampa jain

---



Designation \*

Professor



Department \*

Department of zoology and biotechnology

Mobile Number \*

9131642013

E-mail Address \*

jshampacool@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Curriculum design is good

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Dr.Sadhana Kesharwani

---

Designation \*

Professor



Department \*

Zoology & Biotechnology

Mobile Number \*

9424313178

E-mail Address \*

sadhanakesharwani.23@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Time to time update

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# TEACHERS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Teacher \*

Mrs.Madhuri Ramteke

---

Designation \*

Assistant Professor



Department \*

Human Development

Mobile Number \*

9399367214

E-mail Address \*

madhurikhandelkar@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Home Science ▼

Following are questions for online teacher satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum



Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Nothing

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

B k s

---

Designation \*

Industry person

---

Department \*

Industry

---

Name of the College/University \*

M h College Jabalpur

---

Mobile Number \*

9827392315

E-mail Address \*

simeshi123@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

No

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

DR. KADAMBINI MISHRA

---

Designation \*

FACULTY

---

Department \*

LANGUAGE DEPARTMENT ( HINDI)

---

Name of the College/University \*

GOVT. M. H. COLLEGE

---

Mobile Number \*

8839540611

E-mail Address \*

drkadam2323@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Good

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

Manju Gupta

---

Designation \*

Professor

Department \*

Chemistry

Name of the College/University \*

Govt MH College of Home science and science for women, Jabalpur



Mobile Number \*

08989754568

E-mail Address \*

manjugupta\_68@yahoo.in

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Bio-Science



Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Alumni \*

Aditi Thakur

---

Designation \*

Student

---

Department \*

Food and Nutrition

---

Name of the College/University \*

Govt MH College

---

Mobile Number \*

6269621263

E-mail Address \*

aditithakur808@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given

Home Science ▼

Following are questions for online alumni satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Depth of the course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Optimization of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Mechanism used for development/ revision of curriculum by BoS (E.g. feedback from educationalist, industry expert) \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Relevance of learning objectives of the syllabus \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Relevance of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Focus more on practical work and project with feild work and internships also campus for better companies

---

# Google Forms

# STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student \*

Shreya Awasthi

---

Class \*

UG



Year \*

Third year



Mobile Number \*

6261590472



E-mail Address \*

shreyaawasthi16112001@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Maths-Science ▼

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum



Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



## Fulfilment of Learning objectives \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Level of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Creation of interest to pursue higher education \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

Excellent

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student \*

Shailee gupta

---

Class \*

PG



Year \*

Third year



Mobile Number \*

6264978130





E-mail Address \*

Shailyg033@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Maths-Science ▼

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Fulfilment of Learning objectives \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Level of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Creation of interest to pursue higher education \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

# STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student \*

Bhanupriya kunjam

---



Class \*

UG



Year \*

Third year



Mobile Number \*

6262286255



E-mail Address \*

bhanupriyakunjam2@gmail.com. /.

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Maths-Science ▼

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Fulfilment of Learning objectives \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Level of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Creation of interest to pursue higher education \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



# STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2021-2022

Government M. H. College of Home Science & Science for Women, Autonomous, Napier Town,  
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh - 482002

Name of the Student \*

sonam gond

Class \*

UG



Year \*

Third year



Mobile Number \*

6263778023



E-mail Address \*

st8533666@gmail.com

Name of the course and subject on which feedback is given \*

Maths-Science ▼

Following are questions for online student satisfaction survey regarding Curriculum

Applicability/relevance to real life situations and local developmental needs. \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Employability \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Entrepreneurship \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Weightage given to Skill development \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Project \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Weightage given to Practical and field work component \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Inclusion/incorporation of latest advancements in the subject \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Difficulty level of course content \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Fulfilment of Learning objectives \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

## Level of Course Outcomes \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Weightage given to Learning values (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, \* analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Quality, Clarity and relevance of textual reading/ reference material/ study material \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Creation of interest to pursue higher education \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average



Overall rating \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Average
- Below Average

Suggestions for improvement in curriculum design and development

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms